

SOUTHWEST FISHERIES CENTER

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE

HONOLULU LABORATORY

2570 DOLE STREET

HONOLULU, HAWAII 96822-2396

JULY 1998

LIBRARY

JUL 24 1998

National Marine Fisheries Service
2570 Dole Street
Honolulu, Hawaii

ESTIMATING ANNUAL TAKES AND KILLS OF SEA TURTLES BY THE HAWAIIAN LONGLINE FISHERY, 1991-97, FROM OBSERVER PROGRAM AND LOGBOOK DATA

PIERRE KLEIBER

Honolulu Laboratory
Southwest Fisheries Science Center
National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA
Honolulu, Hawaii 96822-2396

This Administrative Report is issued as an informal document to ensure prompt dissemination of preliminary results, interim reports and special studies. We recommend that it not be abstracted or cited.

Southwest Fisheries Science Center
Administrative Report H-98-08

**ESTIMATING ANNUAL TAKES AND KILLS OF SEA TURTLES
BY THE HAWAIIAN LONGLINE FISHERY, 1991-97,
FROM OBSERVER PROGRAM AND LOGBOOK DATA**

Pierre Kleiber

Honolulu Laboratory
Southwest Fisheries Science Center
National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA
2570 Dole Street, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822-2396

July 1998

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

INTRODUCTION

On the basis of biological opinions issued under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), an observer program for the Hawaiian longline fishery has been in place since 1994 to record sea turtle interactions. Observed take locations for the first 4 years of the observer program are shown in Figures 1–4, for the turtle species so far observed to interact with the longline gear: loggerhead turtles (*Caretta caretta*), olive ridley turtles (*Lepidochelys olivacea*), leatherback turtles (*Dermochelys coriacea*), and green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*). Hawksbill turtles (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) are also known to exist in the region, but no takes of this species have been observed in the longline fishery.

Because less than 5% of the fishing trips are observed, estimates of interactions in the whole fishery must be extrapolated from observer records. One of the requirements of the observer program is an annual report on the findings of the program. As a contribution to preparation of that report for 1997, this paper presents estimates of turtle take and kill in the fishery through 1997, using observer data, logbook data from the fishermen, and other ancillary data.

METHODS

Takes and kills were estimated with substantially the same statistical techniques employed in the previous year for the 1994–96 observer data (Skillman and Kleiber, *manuscr. in prep.*). Observer data and corresponding logbook data for each observed set, 1994–97, were used to construct a predictive model for takes of each turtle species. The data consisted of records of 1,922 sets with information on takes and the condition of turtles as well as 27 independent variables (Table 1) to be tested for significance with a classification and regression tree (CART) model (Clark and Pregibon, 1992). An additional 276 sets had incomplete information on some of the independent variables.

The predictive models were applied year by year, 1991–97, to all the logbook data (observed and unobserved sets) to estimate the takes by year for the whole fishery. To estimate the total number of kills, the expected number of kills per take was first estimated, by species, from information recorded by observers on the condition of the turtles when released (Table 2). The only information available on turtle mortality from longline gear interaction suggests that loggerhead turtles have a 29% probability of dying as a result of ingesting a longline hook (Aguilar, Mas, and Pastor, 1992). Therefore, for a condition recorded as internal (i.e., hook ingested), the mortality was set to 0.29, and this figure was assumed to apply to all turtle species released in this condition. Turtles recorded as dead were assigned a mortality of 1.0, and turtles recorded as OK were assigned a zero mortality, as were turtles hooked external to the throat (external). Turtles hooked in an unknown location (hook) were assigned the average mortality of the turtles of their species with a known hook location, and turtles with unknown condition (code

NR) were assigned the average within species of turtles with condition code OK, internal, or external. In the case of turtles reported as hardshell and with unknown hook location or unknown condition, the averaging was conducted over all turtles except leatherbacks. The total takes and kills of unidentified hardshell turtles were apportioned to the total takes and kills of known hardshell species (Table 2) in proportion to the known takes to yield the kill per take by species (Table 3). Finally, the kill per take figures were multiplied by the take estimates to get estimated kills.

To represent uncertainty in the point estimates, smoothed empirical frequency distributions were obtained from 1,000 bootstrap estimates using a Gaussian kernel technique (Härdle, 1990). The principle change in technique from the previous year's analysis was in the method of drawing and applying bootstrap samples for investigating uncertainty in the estimates. In the present analysis, bootstrap samples were drawn trip by trip rather than set by set as was done previously. Also, the calculation of kill per take was included in the bootstrap procedure, that is, condition tables similar to Tables 2 and 3 were constructed for each bootstrap sample.

RESULTS

Loggerheads were the only turtle species for which significant independent variables remained in the CART after cross validation and pruning. Sea surface temperature was the most important variable, followed by albacore catch, whereas latitude was the most important variable in the previous year's analysis. Unfortunately, more than one-third of the temperature records are missing in the logbook data, which means that a predictive model with temperature would not be very efficient. There are also some temperature data missing in the observer data. Accordingly, a CART was produced with the temperature variable eliminated. In this analysis, based on a somewhat larger data set, latitude emerged as the primary explanatory variable, in place of temperature, and the resulting model fit somewhat better than the original model. Plots of annual loggerhead take and kill estimated with the restricted model for 1991–97 are given in Figures 5 and 6. These results are tabulated in Table 4.

Like the previous year, CART analysis for olive ridleys picked yellowfin catch as the most important independent variable. However, in contrast to last year the influence of yellowfin catch (or any other variable) was not statistically significant. Therefore, the olive ridley CART was pruned to a single node, i.e., the model was reduced to simply the overall take per set. Plots of annual olive ridley take and kill estimates for 1991–97 are given in Figures 7 and 8. These results are tabulated in Table 5.

Results for leatherback turtles were essentially the same as in last year's analysis: no significant independent variables were found. Plots of annual leatherback take and kill estimates for 1991–97 are given in Figures 9 and 10. These results are tabulated in Table 6.

Likewise, the results for green turtles were essentially the same as in last year's analysis: no significant independent variables were found. Plots of annual green turtle take and kill estimates for 1991-97 are given in Figures 11 and 12. These results are tabulated in Table 7.

DISCUSSION

In contrast to earlier years, the point estimate of loggerhead takes for 1997 is below the authorized take level for loggerheads stipulated in the Incidental Take Statement of the current NMFS Biological Opinion, though the bootstrap distribution indicates a 22% chance that the real take was above that level. Kill estimates for loggerheads are consistently above the authorized kill level for loggerheads, as they are for olive ridleys, though take estimates for olive ridleys are consistently below the authorized take level for that species. All estimates for leatherback and green turtles are well below authorized levels for those species.

Estimates for 1991-93 are included because logbook data are available for those years, but these estimates are based on 1994-97 observer data. Therefore, these estimates involve an additional level of extrapolation above the 1994-97 estimates and should be interpreted with some caution, as should all the estimates, given the wide confidence intervals.

REFERENCES

Aguilar, R., J. Mas, and X. Pastor.

1992. Impact of Spanish swordfish longline fisheries on the loggerhead sea turtle (*Caretta caretta*) population in the western Mediterranean. Presented at the 12th Annual Workshop on Sea Turtle Biology and Conservation. Feb. 25-29, 1992, Jekyll Island, Georgia.

Clark, L. A., and D. Pregibon.

1992. Tree-based models. Chapter 9. In: J. M Chambers and T. J. Hastie (eds.), Statistical Models in S. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole. 608 p.

Härdle, W.

1990. Smoothing techniques with implementations in S. Springer-Verlag. 261 p.

Skillman, R.A. and P. Kleiber.

- Manuscript in Prep. Estimation of sea turtle take and mortality in the Hawai'i-based longline fishery, 1994-96.

Table 1. Independent variables tested for statistical significance in affecting the probability of longline-turtle interaction.

	variable	mnemonic	type	notes
Location in time and space:	latitude	lat	numeric	degrees N
	longitude	lon	numeric	degrees E
	year	year	categorical	94; 95; 96; 97
	month	mon	categorical	Jan -- Dec
	time	time	numeric	0001 - 2400
Condition of gear:	hooks	hooks	numeric	count
	hooks/float	hkpfl	numeric	count
	bait	bait	categorical	6 categories
	light sticks	stiks	numeric	count
Environment:	temperature	btemp	numeric	sea surface temperature
	sun elevation	sun	numeric	degrees above horizon
	moon phase	moon	numeric	percent full
Catch of other species:	bigeye	bet	numeric	catch in #
	yellowfin	yft	numeric	"
	skipjack	skj	numeric	"
	albacore	alb	numeric	"
	swordfish	swo	numeric	"
	blue shark	blshk	numeric	"
	mahimahi	mahi	numeric	"
	striped marlin	stmrl	numeric	"
	blue marlin	blmrl	numeric	"
	wahoo	wahoo	numeric	"
	spearfish	spear	numeric	"
	opah	opah	numeric	"
	albatross	albts	numeric	"
Other:	vessel length	veslen	numeric	registered length
	target	targ	categorical	7 categories

Table 2. Turtle take and kill statistics by condition code from combined observer data, 1994–97. “Kill Rate” is the expected kills per take imputed (see text) from the given condition except in the “Total” column, where it is the sum over condition codes of take times kill rate.

Species	Statistic	Condition Code						Total
		Internal	External	Hook	NR	OK	Dead	
Loggerhead	Take	48	31	2	1	3	0	85
	Kill Rate	0.290	0.0	0.178	0.172	0.0	1.0	14.45
Olive Ridley	Take	10	7	0	0	0	2	19
	Kill Rate	0.290	0.0	0.171	0.171	0.0	1.0	4.90
Leatherback	Take	1	20	3	5	3	1	33
	Kill Rate	0.290	0.0	0.014	0.012	0.0	1.0	1.39
Green	Take	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
	Kill Rate	0.290	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00
Hard Shell	Take	3	0	4	2	0	0	9
	Kill Rate	0.290	0.0	0.172	0.167	0.0	1.0	1.89

Condition Codes:

- Internal – hook was ingested
- External – hooked, but hook not ingested
- Hook – hooked in unknown location
- NR – condition not recorded
- OK – alive and uninjured at release
- Dead – dead upon gear retrieval

Table 3. Calculation of overall kill rate (kills per take), takes and kills by species. Based on takes and calculated kills from Table 2 with takes and kills of unidentified hardshell turtles allocated to the other hardshell species in proportion to the recorded takes of those species.

Species	Takes	Kills	Kill Rate
Loggerhead	91.0	15.9	0.175
Olive ridley	20.6	5.2	0.254
Leatherback	33	1.4	0.042
Green	5.4	0.1	0.016

Table 4. Loggerhead take and kill estimates with 95% confidence intervals and probabilities that the real takes or kills exceeded the authorized levels (given in parentheses).

year	Takes			Kills		
	est.	95% CI	p(>305)	est.	95% CI	p(>46)
1991	355	[215-472]	0.66	62	[33-85]	0.83
1992	514	[295-624]	0.97	90	[46-117]	0.98
1993	581	[360-770]	0.99	102	[58-145]	1.00
1994	476	[237-558]	0.88	83	[38-103]	0.93
1995	376	[191-461]	0.59	66	[31-83]	0.77
1996	426	[207-502]	0.72	75	[32-92]	0.84
1997	284	[150-435]	0.22	50	[23-74]	0.50

Table 5. Olive ridley take and kill estimates with 95% confidence intervals and probabilities that the real takes or kills exceeded the authorized levels (given in parentheses).

year	Takes			Kills		
	est.	95% CI	p(>152)	est.	95% CI	p(>23)
1991	118	[49 – 184]	0.16	30	[11 – 50]	0.72
1992	108	[46 – 169]	0.09	27	[9 – 46]	0.64
1993	115	[47 – 178]	0.14	29	[10 – 48]	0.69
1994	101	[41 – 157]	0.06	26	[9 – 43]	0.56
1995	110	[45 – 170]	0.11	28	[10 – 47]	0.65
1996	109	[45 – 170]	0.10	28	[10 – 46]	0.65
1997	111	[48 – 175]	0.11	28	[10 – 47]	0.66

Table 6. Leatherback take and kill estimates with 95% confidence intervals and probabilities that the real takes or kills exceeded the authorized levels (given in parentheses).

year				Kills		
	est.	95% CI	p(>271)	est.	95% CI	p(>41)
1991	190	[119 – 268]	0.02	8	[0.1 – 21]	~0
1992	173	[108 – 245]	0.00	7	[0.1 – 19]	~0
1993	185	[115 – 261]	0.02	8	[0.1 – 20]	~0
1994	162	[101 – 229]	0.00	7	[0.1 – 18]	~0
1995	176	[110 – 249]	0.01	7	[0.1 – 19]	~0
1996	175	[109 – 247]	0.01	7	[0.1 – 19]	~0
1997	178	[111 – 251]	0.01	7	[0.1 – 20]	~0

Table 7. Green turtle take and kill estimates with 95% confidence intervals and probabilities that the real takes or kills exceeded the authorized levels (given in parentheses).

year	Takes			Kills		
	est.	95% CI	p(>119)	est.	95% CI	p(>18)
1991	31	[7 - 58]	~0	0.5	[0.04 - 1.01]	~0
1992	28	[5 - 52]	~0	0.5	[0.04 - 0.92]	~0
1993	30	[6 - 56]	~0	0.5	[0.04 - 0.98]	~0
1994	27	[5 - 49]	~0	0.4	[0.03 - 0.86]	~0
1995	29	[6 - 53]	~0	0.5	[0.04 - 0.94]	~0
1996	29	[5 - 52]	~0	0.5	[0.03 - 0.92]	~0
1997	29	[6 - 54]	~0	0.5	[0.03 - 0.94]	~0




Figure 1. Approximate positions of observed sets and observed loggerhead takes, 1994-1997.




Figure 2. Approximate positions of observed sets and observed olive ridley takes, 1994-1997.




Figure 3. Approximate positions of observed sets and observed leatherback takes, 1994-1997.




Figure 4. Approximate positions of observed sets and observed green turtle takes, 1994-1997.




Figure 6. Kill estimates for loggerhead turtles. Point estimates with 95% confidence bounds superimposed on distributions of bootstrap estimates. The number above each distribution plot is the proportion of the distribution above the authorized level for loggerhead kills - the red portion of the distribution.




Figure 5. Take estimates for loggerhead turtles. Point estimates with 95% confidence bounds superimposed on distributions of bootstrap estimates. The number above each distribution plot is the proportion of the distribution above the authorized level for loggerhead takes - the red portion of the distribution.




Figure 8. Kill estimates for olive ridley turtles. Point estimates with 95% confidence bounds superimposed on distributions of bootstrap estimates. The number above each distribution plot is the proportion of the distribution above the authorized level for olive ridley kills - the red portion of the distribution.




Figure 7. Take estimates for olive ridley turtles. Point estimates with 95% confidence bounds superimposed on distributions of bootstrap estimates. The number above each distribution plot is the proportion of the distribution above the authorized level for olive ridley takes - the red portion of the distribution.




Figure 10. Kill estimates for leatherback turtles. Point estimates with 95% confidence bounds superimposed on distributions of bootstrap estimates. The number above each distribution plot is the proportion of the distribution above the authorized level for leatherback kills - the red portion of the distribution.




Figure 9. Take estimates for leatherback turtles. Point estimates with 95% confidence bounds superimposed on distributions of bootstrap estimates. The number above each distribution plot is the proportion of the distribution above the authorized level for leatherback takes - the red portion of the distribution.




Figure 11. Take estimates for green turtles. Point estimates with 95% confidence bounds superimposed on distributions of bootstrap estimates. The number above each distribution plot is the proportion of the distribution above the authorized level for green turtle takes - the red portion of the distribution.




Figure 12. Kill estimates for green turtles. Point estimates with 95% confidence bounds superimposed on distributions of bootstrap estimates. The number above each distribution plot is the proportion of the distribution above the authorized level for green turtle kills - the red portion of the distribution.

